



## Shell Oil Products US

Puget Sound Refinery

P.O. Box 622

Anacortes, WA 98221

Tel 360.293.0800

Fax 360.293.0808

Email [pugetsound@ShellOPUS.com](mailto:pugetsound@ShellOPUS.com)

Web-Plant [www.shellpugetsoundrefinery.com](http://www.shellpugetsoundrefinery.com)

Web-Corporate [www.shellus.com](http://www.shellus.com)

March 10, 2011

Director, Air Enforcement Division  
Office of Regulatory Enforcement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 2242-A  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20460-0001

Subject: *United States v Equilon Enterprises, LLC*  
Civil Action Number H-01-0978  
Southern District of Texas entered August 21, 2001

Flaring and Tail Gas Incident Report – February 24, 2011  
Shell Oil Products US, Puget Sound Refinery

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to Section VIII, Paragraph 136 of the consent decree in *United States v Equilon Enterprises LLC*, Civil Action Number H-01-0978, entered August 21, 2001 by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Shell Oil Products US submits the following information regarding a Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident, as defined in Paragraph 120(f), that occurred at the Puget Sound Refinery. The incident was investigated and a detailed report listing the root causes is included in the attached Incident Report.

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and that I have made a diligent inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information and that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information submitted herewith is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

If you have any comments or questions regarding this information, please contact Tim Figgie at (360) 293-1525.

Sincerely,

Susan G. Krienen  
General Manager

Enclosure

PSR0000545

cc (w/enclosures):

Director, Air Enforcement Division  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
c/o Matrix Environmental & Geotechnical Services  
120 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 207  
East Hanover, NJ 07936

Director  
NWCAA  
1600 South 2nd Street  
Mount Vernon, WA 98273

John Keenan  
Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107)  
US EPA – Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98101

## FLARING INCIDENT REPORT

Type of Incident: ☐ Acid Gas / SWSG ☐ Tail Gas ☒ Hydrocarbon

### Brief Description of Incident:

On February 24 at approximately 7:25 PM a power disruption caused most of the Puget Sound Refinery (PSR) process units to trip out or become unstable, which resulted in excess flaring. This power disruption occurred on the power company's main lines and was outside of PSR's control. Preliminary reports from Puget Sound Energy (PSE) indicate that a vehicle struck a power pole support wire that then hit a main power line causing the disruption. The PSR flare gas recovery unit did trip out also but was restarted at approximately 7:55PM. The most significant flaring and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions occurred in the first 3-hours of the event. The hourly average SO<sub>2</sub> emissions dropped significantly at 10PM. No amine acid gas was flared nor were there any exceedances of the 1000-ppm SO<sub>2</sub> corrected to 7% O<sub>2</sub> 1-hour average limit.

<b>Incident Start Date:</b>	2/24/2011	<b>Incident Start Time:</b>	7:25 pm
<b>Incident End Date:</b>	2/24/2011	<b>Incident End Time:</b>	10:00 pm

<b>Estimated Sulfur Dioxide Emissions:</b> (Attach below):	2,126 lbs	<b>Pounds</b>
SO <sub>2</sub> lbs/hr = 0.995*(flare gas flow, MSCFH * 1000) * (Sulfur, vol% / 100) * (64.0648/379), where 0.995 is flare efficiency, 64 #/#-mole is the MW of SO <sub>2</sub> and 379 is scf/#-mole		

### Steps taken to limit the duration and/or quantity of sulfur dioxide emissions:

Process units were restarted as soon as practicable.

### ANALYSIS OF INCIDENT AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

No additional information attached

#### Primary and contributing causes of incident:

The root cause of this event was loss of power feed from the power company, PSE.

**Analyses of measures available to reduce likelihood of recurrence (evaluate possible design, operational, and maintenance changes; discuss alternatives, probable effectiveness, and cost; determine if an outside consultant should be retained to assist with analyses):**

The root cause of this event was loss of power feed from the public utility, PSE, and is outside of PSR's immediate control.

#### Description of corrective action to be taken (include commencement and completion dates):

See above.

#### If correction not required, explain basis for conclusion:

See above.

The incident was the result of or resulted in the following (check all that apply):

- ☐ Error from careless operation
- ☐ Equipment failure due to failure to operate and maintain in accordance with good engineering practice
- ☒ Sulfur dioxide emissions greater than 20 #/hr continuously for three or more consecutive hours
- ☐ Caused the number of Acid Gas or Tail Gas incidents in a rolling twelve-month period to exceed five
- ☐ None of the above

Was the root cause identified as a process problem isolated within an SRP?

- ☐ Yes (An optimization study of the affected SRP is required as part of the corrective actions identified above.)
- ☒ No

The root cause of the incident was:

- ☐ Identified for the first time since March 21, 2001
- ☒ Identified as a recurrence since March 21, 2001 (explain previous incident(s) below)

Other PSE power outages have occurred.

Was the root cause of the incident a malfunction?

- ☒ Yes (describe below)
- ☐ No

The root cause of this event was loss of power feed from the power company, PSE.

**Definition of Malfunction:** Any sudden, infrequent, and not reasonably preventable failure of air pollution control equipment, process equipment, or failure of a process to operate in a normal or usual manner. Failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.

#### **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

Submit initial report, supporting documents and assessment of stipulated penalties, if any, within 30 days of the incident to the EPA Regional Office and Northwest Clean Air Agency.

If at the time the first report is submitted (within 30 days of the incident), corrective actions have not been determined a follow-up report is required within 45 days of first report (unless otherwise approved by the EPA). Provide anticipated date of follow-up report.

Stipulated penalties do not apply to Hydrocarbon Flaring Events.

Prepared By: \_\_\_\_\_ Tim Figgie \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_ March 1, 2011 \_\_\_\_